

# Multicore Modeling with AADL

Julien Delange

April 2, 2015

This document discusses the different modeling patterns to represent multicore processors with AADLv2. The main motivation for such an article is the modeling of multi-core architecture for ARINC653 applications. In ARINC653, partitions are still scheduled using a fixed time-slice protocol. Partitions cannot be executed concurrently on separate core. On the other hand, the configuration indicate what core are used for each partition. In other hands, on a four cores system, one partition can use three cores, another one can use just two and another one use all of them. The core not being used are then inactive.

When starting to represent multi-core concepts, the author start to face several issues. First of all, the use of `virtual processor` to model core in the context of ARINC653 systems introduce a semantic issue, the same component is then used for multiple purposes (partition runtime and core). In addition, when using the `virtual processor` component, this removes the ability to represent physical interaction with shared resources between multiple cores.

We present several modeling patterns, discuss their advantages/drawbacks and recommend a solution for standardizing the modeling of multi-core architectures.

## 1 Processor with virtual processors

---

```
package onepart.multicore

public

with Data_Model;
with ARINC653;

virtual processor partition_runtime
end partition_runtime;

virtual processor implementation partition_runtime.impl
end partition_runtime.impl;

virtual processor core
end core;

virtual processor implementation core.impl
end core.impl;

processor module
end module;

processor implementation module.impl
subcomponents
  core1 : virtual processor core.impl;
  core2 : virtual processor core.impl;
end module.impl;

process myprocess
end myprocess;

system node
end node;

system implementation node.impl
subcomponents
```

```

cpu    : processor module.impl;
part1  : process myprocess;
partition_runtime1 : virtual processor partition_runtime;
part2  : process myprocess;
partition_runtime2 : virtual processor partition_runtime;
properties
Actual_Processor_Binding => (reference (cpu.core1)) applies to partition_runtime1;
Actual_Processor_Binding => (reference (cpu.core2)) applies to partition_runtime2;
Actual_Processor_Binding => (reference (partition_runtime1)) applies to part1;
Actual_Processor_Binding => (reference (partition_runtime2)) applies to part2;
ARINC653::Module.Schedule =>
  ( [Partition => reference (partition_runtime1);
    Duration => 10 ms;
    Periodic_Processing_Start => false;],
    [Partition => reference (partition_runtime2);
    Duration => 10 ms;
    Periodic_Processing_Start => false;]
  ) applies to cpu;
end node.impl;

end onepart_multicore;

```

---

Listing 1: Processor with Virtual Processors

## 1.1 Pros

1. Do not need to change the standard

## 1.2 Cons

1. **Do not capture the hardware nature of a core** and potential interactions with buses. This might be critical when analyzing the timing and shared resources of a core.
2. **Conflict with the ARINC653 annex:** by using such a pattern, the `virtual processor` is used to capture a core and a partition runtime. This is conflicting and one might one to distinguish these concepts.
3. **Compatibility of properties:** properties of processors (e.g. speed) should also apply to cores. Then, by representing each core with virtual processor, property sets must be updated.

## 2 Systems with processors

---

```

package onepart_multicore

public

with Data_Model;
with ARINC653;

virtual processor partition_runtime
end partition_runtime;

virtual processor implementation partition_runtime.impl
end partition_runtime.impl;

processor core
end core;

processor implementation core.impl
end core.impl;

system module
end module;

system implementation module.impl
subcomponents
  core1 : processor core.impl;

```

```

    core2 : processor core.impl;
end module.impl;

process myprocess
end myprocess;

system node
end node;

system implementation node.impl
subcomponents
    cpu : system module.impl;
    part1 : process myprocess;
    partition_runtime1 : virtual processor partition_runtime;
    part2 : process myprocess;
    partition_runtime2 : virtual processor partition_runtime;
properties
    Actual.Processor.Binding => (reference (cpu.core1)) applies to partition_runtime1;
    Actual.Processor.Binding => (reference (partition_runtime1)) applies to part1;
    Actual.Processor.Binding => (reference (cpu.core2)) applies to partition_runtime2;
    Actual.Processor.Binding => (reference (partition_runtime2)) applies to part1;
    ARINC653::Module.Schedule =>
        ( [Partition => reference (partition_runtime1);
          Duration => 10 ms;
          Periodic.Processing.Start => false;],
          [Partition => reference (partition_runtime2);
          Duration => 10 ms;
          Periodic.Processing.Start => false;]
        ) applies to cpu;
end node.impl;

end onepart_multicore;

```

---

Listing 2: Processor with Virtual Processors

## 2.1 Pros

1. **Do not need to change the standard**
2. **Capture the physical aspects**

## 2.2 Cons

1. **Do not capture the hardware nature of a processors** there is a specialized component (processor type) to capture the hardware characteristic of a processor, using a system would then the strong typing nature of AADL.
2. **Backwards compatibility of analysis tools** (some analysis tools will not consider a system as a processor)
3. **Compatibility of properties:** properties using the processor as the OS needs to be updated. In that case, OS-related properties must also apply to `system` components then.
4. **Lack of semantics:** use the system as a container whereas a processor is a physical entity

## 3 Processors with processors

---

```

package onepart_multicore

public

with Data_Model;
with ARINC653;

virtual processor partition_runtime
end partition_runtime;

```

```

virtual processor implementation partition_runtime.impl
end partition_runtime.impl;

processor core
end core;

processor implementation core.impl
end core.impl;

processor module
end module;

processor implementation module.impl
subcomponents
  core1 : processor core.impl;
  core2 : processor core.impl;
end module.impl;

process myprocess
end myprocess;

system node
end node;

system implementation node.impl
subcomponents
  cpu : processor module.impl;
  part1 : process myprocess;
  part2 : process myprocess;
  partition_runtime1 : virtual processor partition_runtime;
  partition_runtime2 : virtual processor partition_runtime;
properties
  Actual.Processor.Binding => (reference (cpu.core1)) applies to partition_runtime1;
  Actual.Processor.Binding => (reference (cpu.core2)) applies to partition_runtime2;
  Actual.Processor.Binding => (reference (partition_runtime1)) applies to part1;
  Actual.Processor.Binding => (reference (partition_runtime2)) applies to part2;
  ARINC653::Module.Schedule =>
    ( [Partition => reference (partition_runtime1);
      Duration => 10 ms;
      Periodic.Processing.Start => false];
      [Partition => reference (partition_runtime2);
      Duration => 10 ms;
      Periodic.Processing.Start => false];
    ) applies to cpu;
end node.impl;

end onepart_multicore;

```

---

Listing 3: Processor with Processors

### 3.1 Pros

1. **No conflict with the ARINC653** and the concept of core and partition runtime
2. **Backward compatible with older versions**
3. **Current analysis tools still compatible**
4. **Represent physical aspects**

### 3.2 Cons

1. **Need to change the standard:** the AADL standardization committee need then to change the standard and approve `processor` subcomponents inside processors.

## 4 Conclusion

We advocate to update the AADL standard and allow the use of `processor` subcomponents inside components. This would then bring the benefits of capturing multicore architecture with different levels of fidelity (modeling at a high level or also having the ability to represent low-level details and shared resources).

A `processor` component would then represent the physical component (the main hardware chip) or one core (when being used as a subcomponent). On the other hand, a `virtual processor` is a software layer representing an processing resource, such as the partition runtime of an ARINC653 or MILS system.

We also recommend to introduce new properties dedicated to multi-core applications. In particular, having a property `Core_Id` that represents the core identifier so that system designer can use it to generate code. Such a concept was already introduced in POSIX and is currently being standardized by the ARINC653 committee. Having this concept built-in in the AADL core properties would then be a significant improvement.

## 5 Copyright

Copyright 2015 Carnegie Mellon University

This material is based upon work funded and supported by the Department of Defense under Contract No. FA8721-05-C-0003 with Carnegie Mellon University for the operation of the Software Engineering Institute, a federally funded research and development center.

Any opinions, findings and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the United States Department of Defense.

NO WARRANTY. THIS CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY AND SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE MATERIAL IS FURNISHED ON AN “AS-IS” BASIS. CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY MAKES NO WARRANTIES OF ANY KIND, EITHER EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, AS TO ANY MATTER INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, WARRANTY OF FITNESS FOR PURPOSE OR MERCHANTABILITY, EXCLUSIVITY, OR RESULTS OBTAINED FROM USE OF THE MATERIAL. CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY DOES NOT MAKE ANY WARRANTY OF ANY KIND WITH RESPECT TO FREEDOM FROM PATENT, TRADEMARK, OR COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT.

This material has been approved for public release and unlimited distribution except as restricted below.

Internal use:\* Permission to reproduce this material and to prepare derivative works from this material for internal use is granted, provided the copyright and “No Warranty” statements are included with all reproductions and derivative works.

External use:\* This material may be reproduced in its entirety, without modification, and freely distributed in written or electronic form without requesting formal permission. Permission is required for any other external and/or commercial use. Requests for permission should be directed to the Software Engineering Institute at [permission@sei.cmu.edu](mailto:permission@sei.cmu.edu).

\* These restrictions do not apply to U.S. government entities.

Carnegie Mellon©is registered in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office by Carnegie Mellon University.

DM-0002293